

South Africa's anti-money laundering measures on the grey list



MALLER

Acronyms

FATF

Financial Action Task Force

CFT

Combatting of Financing of Terrorism

UBO

Ultimate Beneficial Ownership

ICRG

International Cooperation Review Group

PEP

Politically Exposed Person

LEA

Law Enforcement Agencies

AML

Anti Money Laundering

DPIP

Domestic Prominent Influential Person

FIU

Financial Intelligence Unit



FATF GREY LISTED COUNTRIES



Scenario Analysis

SCENARIOS	DISCRIPTION	ASSUMPTIONS	EFFECTS	IMPLICATIONS
Scenario 1: Nothing Happens	L+I is <0	Event already priced in the sovereign's risk premium; Not at PNR yet; The reverse is within reach (i.e. Mauritius)	Negligible	None
Scenario 2: Worse Happens	L+I is >1 Economic and non- economic effects kicking in (prior, during and before)	Building into the narrative of failed state; At PNR for failure to cooperate in AML/CFT efforts DFIs borrowing terms (with SoEs) include good standing with the FATFs EU, UK and USA steadfast in their exclusionary approach Credit rating agencies view this adversely	Historical negative effect 7,6% of GDP of capital flows Gross inflow of over 6% of GDP (average) lower FDI inflow declines of 3.2% of GDP average Portfolio inflow declines of 3.3% of GDP GDP Gross outflow declines of ~ 3% at the time of grey-list Private sectors inflows 3,3% of GDP and public sector's of 0,5% Ease of doing business resulting in onerous and costly due diligence processes (Insistence on enhanced due diligence by international counterparties) Terms of FDI organisations exclusionary against high AML/CFT risk jurisdictions which impacts access to international finance and trade	 Billions in AUM in EU, USA and UK which are sensitive jurisdictions for this purpose Strategic relationships with offshore entities Local manager's appointment by offshore managers to manage local assets SoEs' source of funding in international bond and currency markets and terms attendant to such Loss of reliance arrangements with all our international counterparties which results in: EDD (and possibly margin) impact on the Trading Desk placing trades with offshore brokers on behalf of SA institutional clients (incl. UTs) going to be a challenged from an EDD standpoint EDD impact on opening offshore trading accounts with Internal Banks (located in the sensitive jurisdictions) Impact Analysis Data Points: International brokers used by the Desk; a sense of volumes of trade placed with those brokers; bank accounts held with international counterparties; type and volumes of transactions executed with international counterparties; clearing / custody / trustee services; derivative transactions / trades (ISDA) with international markets; LISP: offshore relationships
Scenario 3: Only Economic Impact	L+I is ~1 Limited to capital flow impact	Economic folks kneejerk as they don't know what this means Compliance and regulatory experts believe in the strength of the country's systems Economic impact of ease of doing business becomes overbearing		
Scenario 4: Only Ops Impact	L+I is ~1 Limited to cost and ease of doing business	Compliance and regulatory experts overreact Believe that this is fair reflection and react accordingly FATF members insist on exclusionary approach		

Thank you

Welcome to:

